Course Evaluation Instructor Detail Report for term 1233, PHIL-114B-1 Topics in Ethical Theory (Jordan Kokot)

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Course: PHIL-114B-1 Topics in Ethical Theory

Term: 1233

Home Department: Philosophy Department

Raters	Students
Responded	6
Invited	16
Response Ratio	37.5%

Key: # = Total Responses; 1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree; N/A = Not Applicable

Course Structure and Grading

General Structure

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
The course syllabus was comprehensive, clear, and accurate.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
The learning goals were clearly stated in the syllabus.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
Classes started and ended on time.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00

Grading

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
Content of tests and assignments was consistent with content of lectures and/or reading.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
Assignments and/or exams were returned promptly.	6	0	0	1	1	4	0	5.00	4.50	0.84
The grading policies were clear and consistently followed.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41
The graded assignments allowed me to demonstrate what I learned in the course.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00

Content and Workload

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
The content covered in this course was challenging.	6	0	0	2	2	2	0	4.00	4.00	0.89
This course requires a lot of work.	6	0	0	2	4	0	0	4.00	3.67	0.52

Student Responsibilities

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
I completed the course readings.	6	0	0	0	4	2	0	4.00	4.33	0.52
I kept up with work as it was assigned.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41

Instructor Responsibilities and Skills

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
The instructor was effective as a lecturer and/or class leader.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41
The instructor's presentations were clear and organized.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41
The instructor stimulated interest in the subject.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41

Responsiveness of the Instructor

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
The instructor was available and helpful to students outside the class.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41
The instructor respected students' ideas.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41
The instructor was concerned about student learning and development.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
I received feedback that helped me see ways in which I could improve my learning and understanding.	6	0	0	1	0	5	0	5.00	4.67	0.82

Overall Instructor Rating

	Cours	e Avera	ge
Question	Response Count	Mean	Median
The instructor was effective as a lecturer and/or class leader.	6	4.83	5.00
The instructor's presentations were clear and organized.	6	4.83	5.00
The instructor stimulated interest in the subject.	6	4.83	5.00
The instructor was available and helpful to students outside the class.	6	4.83	5.00
The instructor respected students' ideas.	6	4.83	5.00
The instructor was concerned about student learning and development.	6	5.00	5.00
I received feedback that helped me see ways in which I could improve my learning and understanding.	6	4.67	5.00
Overall	-	4.83	-

Contribution to Learning

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
The stated learning goals for the course were met.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
This course improved my writing ability.	6	0	0	0	3	3	0	4.50	4.50	0.55
This course improved my oral communication skills.	6	0	0	0	0	5	1	5.00	5.00	0.00
This course improved my quantitative skills.	6	0	0	1	0	2	3	5.00	4.33	1.15
This course helped me develop my creative abilities.	6	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.00	4.33	0.58
This course helped me to analyze, interpret and synthesize information.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
This course helped me to reason better and to think more critically about its subject matter.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
This course helped me to consider alternative perspectives on complex issues.	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00

Overall Quality of the Course

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
The overall quality of this course was excellent.	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41

Student Demographics

Your class standing?

#	First Year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Master's	Doctoral
6	1	2	2	1	0	0

What is your school of major?

#	SCI	SocSCI	HUM	ART	Undecided
6	0	2	2	0	2

Why did you choose this course?

#	University req.	Major req.	Minor req.	Interest
6	0	3	1	2

Course and Instructor Comments

Please identify those aspects of the course you found most useful or valuable for learning.

Comments

I found in-class discussions to be the most valuable aspect for my learning in this class.

Group discussions were good, something different that I've experienced.

I liked the discussion based aspect of the class

Class discussions

What suggestions would you make to the instructor Jordan Kokot for improving the course?

Comments

I think having groups take point on more than one topic would improve this course. I think that having groups design a discussion was really useful for structuring conversations we had in class and I think the course would benefit from more of these opportunities for students.

none.

Often I felt like there was too much emphasis placed on neutrality toward all students' opinions, even when an opinion was rooted in prejudice, or when a student would say something genuinely harmful, which made the class less enjoyable, because I didn't know if any students would share harmful views unchecked

Nothing, I only wish the class were longer

WRITING INTENSIVE

After taking this course, I can:

	#	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Median	Mean	SD
Better understand the purpose and audience for my writing in this discipline	6	0	0	0	1	5	0	5.00	4.83	0.41
Better use compelling, credible sources to support my writing		0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	0.00
Better organize and communicate my ideas in the style of my discipline		0	0	1	0	5	0	5.00	4.67	0.82