



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A5
Course Title: INTRO TO ETHICS
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2014

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

Jordan tried to draw connections between different readings and used visuals to help understand.

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Awesome discussion, very helpful. Lectures were terrible for visual learners like me. :(



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A5
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan was easily the best TA I've had for a non-major (CS) course. He was engaging, considerate to our opinions, extremely helpful, and an absolute delight to be led by.


I actually substantially enjoyed doing discussions more than lectures.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

—

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Need more TAs like this.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A5
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

Jordan Seemed to understand what points were difficult to understand and explained them to us in ~~the~~ discussion.  
Really emphasized my understanding of the subject.

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

- Unjust grading of papers.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Enjoyed course.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A5

Course Title: Intro to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation with columns for Poor and Excellent and numbered circles 1-5.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation with columns for Poor and Excellent and numbered circles 1-5.

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Yes/No response scale for questions 16-19.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- lots of feedback on essays
- Discussion is much more enjoyable than lecture
- good ~~the~~ Discussion instructor likes what he teaches

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

- hard to get an A or A- on essays

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: AS
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kalcut
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan is very enthusiastic in the way he teaches the subjects.  
Gives good feedback on papers.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

Tough on grading the papers, wants very specific details

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Good TE, stimulates interest on subject.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 1150 Section: A5
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Bohot
Semester/Year: Spring/2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

He is the only reason I understand anything that went on in the class.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A5

Course Title: Intro to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

effective in explaining concepts

great feedback on papers

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH 150 Section: 45
Course Title: Ethics Intro
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking, and Yes/No options for questions 16-19.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

Good at explaining everything

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

mistakenly let us go 10min early many times. Learn the diff. between min/hour hand.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3
Course Title: INTRO to ETHICS
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2010

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- approachable
- v. intelligent & witty
- loves the topics he taught - so it made me want to learn more
- amazing at explaining difficult topics for better understanding.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A5

Course Title: Introduction to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with a dot below each column.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with a dot below each column.

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Yes/No response scale for questions 16-19.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan is really approachable & encouraging.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

There is so little discussion time.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A5
Course Title: PH150 Introduction to Ethics (DIS)
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2010

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

' instructor's effort in grading assignments was greatly appreciated  
' explanations stemmed off/covered lecture well  
' made class have a comfortable atmosphere

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPHISO Section: AS
Course Title: Intro to Ethics Discussion
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year:

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with filled-in circles indicating scores.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with filled-in circles indicating scores.

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Yes/No response scale with filled-in circles indicating 'Yes' or 'No' for each question.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan really sets up good discussions for the class to spread ideas.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

Not enough discussion sections, replace a lecture for 50/50.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A5
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Koloj
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan Kokot did a great job of sparking interest and solidifying ideas. Never missed a discussion because it was awesome,

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

He didn't get enough time.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

✓



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A5
Course Title: INTRO to ETHICS
Instructor: JORDAN KOKOT
Semester/Year: SPRING 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan explained concepts really well & allowed for good class discussion. Also feedback on essays was super helpful. Overall great TF.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPHISO Section: A5
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

The conversations in class are super interesting and make you really think of the concepts.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

It is too little time to discuss all topics.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Awesome discussion class.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A1
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2010

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- You did a good job of trying to get people interested and explained well.
- You're comments on paper ~~was~~ were good.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

- You're handwriting, so I thank you for typing for the essays.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

· You have a future in teaching and did well explaining philosophy that I hardly understand.





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A3
Course Title: Introduction to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kodot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Very good and helpful feedback on essays  
enjoyed discussions on different topics and philosophers

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

n/A

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

n/A



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPHISO Section: AS
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- clearly very knowledgeable of the text for this course
- easily understands and answers students questions
- provides detailed feedback to students when asked

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- Puts a lot of effort into a class that some students don't take seriously enough



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH150 Section: A5
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2010

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- good at making material interesting and easier to understand
- made discussions interesting & fun

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

- unknown grading of essays

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPHISO Section: AS

Course Title: Intro to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokuf

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation with columns for Poor and Excellent and numbered circles 1-5.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation with columns for Poor and Excellent and numbered circles 1-5.

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Yes/No response scale with Y and N options.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

The instructor explained the readings well. ~~The course~~  
~~is~~ Better than the professor

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

The course was boring Too many readings.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

wouldnt recommend!





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH 150 Section: A5
Course Title: Philosophy of Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring/2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

- TA breaks down concepts into manageable and understandable ideas.
- TA's excitement over concepts helped stimulate interest.

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

None

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Discussion helped tremendously.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3
Course Title: intro ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

great at giving feedback  
and availability out of  
class.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

70% grade shouldn't be  
based on our own  
interpretations.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Jordan was great and  
very insightful.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH 150 Section: A5
Course Title: Introduction to Ethics.
Instructor: Jordan Kokot.
Semester/Year: Spring 2016.

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Thanks Jordan. Great Discussion session.  
Really enjoyed it.